

Additionally, the plaintiffs state these consolidated cases are related to and arise from similar law and facts as a later-filed third case, ***Susan Barker v. Samuel P. Baird, et al.***, 8:10CV326, such that these three cases should all be consolidated. Despite the plaintiffs' notice and the court's September 2, 2010, order in the above-captioned cases, the non-moving parties shall have an opportunity to object to consolidation of ***Susan Barker v. Samuel P. Baird, et al.***, 8:10CV326 with ***Susan E. Bredthauer v. Gilbert G.***

**Lundstrom, et al.**, 4:10CV3132, and **Ronald A. Laird v. Gilbert G. Lundstrom, et al.**, 4:10CV3139. Upon consideration,

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. The plaintiffs' Notice of Related Case ([Filing No. 54](#) in case 4:10CV3132; [Filing No. 41](#) in case 4:10CV3139) are granted to the extent [NEGenR 1.4\(a\)\(4\)](#) provides the procedure for assignment of judges.

2. The non-movants shall have to **on or before September 27, 2010**, to show cause why ***Susan Barker v. Samuel P. Baird, et al.***, 8:10CV326 should not be consolidated with ***Susan E. Bredthauer v. Gilbert G. Lundstrom, et al.***, 4:10CV3132, and ***Ronald A. Laird v. Gilbert G. Lundstrom, et al.***, 4:10CV3139.

DATED this 13th day of September, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge